UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/423,948	04/14/2000	LEONID BERESNEV	2345/103	7349
26646 KENYON & K	7590 01/22/201 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
ONE BROADV	VAY	NGUYEN, HOAN C		
NEW YORK, NY 10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/22/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/423,948	BERESNEV ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	HOAN C. NGUYEN	2871				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 No</u>	ovember 2009.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	, — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-27</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) <u>6-22</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23-27</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Discrete of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) L. Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/16/2009 has been entered.

Claims 1-5 are cancelled. Claims 6-27 are pending.

In the article: "Nonlinearity of Panchanratnam's geometric phase in polarizing interferometers", Physical Review E, Volume 60, Number 2, pages 2322-2329 (August 1999), Bernhard Hils shows that there is ONLY reference Patent DE 19720246.2 (which was the Foreign Priority) published or filed before August 1999 for the claimed subject matter. Therefore, the amended independent claims 6, 12 and 17 are allowable.

However, the claim 23 has not amended with the allowed subject matter. Therefore, the rejection of claims 23-27 are repeated here.

Application/Control Number: 09/423,948 Page 3

Art Unit: 2871

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 23-25 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over De Lang (US3635552) provided in IDS.

In regard to claim 23, De Lang teaches (Fig. 1) a tunable interferometer for measuring an optical surface comprising:

- at least one light source 1;
- a reference surface 5, light from the at least one light source impinging the
 reference surface, the reference surface reflecting a first interference beams
 wherein the reference surface is stationary when at least one light source
 impinges the reference surface 5 (see attachment);
- a test object 12, light from the at least one light source impinging the test object,
 the test object reflecting a second interference beam (see attachment);
- at least one beam splitter 3, the first interference beam and the second interference beam striking the at least one beam splitter; and
- a polarizer 6 & 7 polarizing the first interference beam and the second interference beam so that the first interference beam and the second interference beam each have a different polarization state relative to one another; and

Application/Control Number: 09/423,948

Art Unit: 2871

• an analyzer, <u>including rotated analyzer 10</u>, <u>image field 11 and detectors 32-33</u>, positioned at an output of the interferometer, the analyzer having a variable polarization state (rotating), the analyzer tuning the interferometer as a function of the polarized first interference beam and the second interference beam, wherein depending on the polarization state of the analyzer, an additional phase inherently is introduced into at least one of the first and second interference beams of different polarizations so that an interference fringe pattern is displaced by a distance **from height of the object** (with electrical signals produced in detectors 32-33 having a phase difference equal the optical phase difference between beams 15 and 16; the col. 3 lines 19-27). **Height of the object is** measured or detected by distance of a shift in the interference fringe pattern shift.

Page 4

wherein

Claim 24:

the interferometer is a two-beam interferometer, wherein the light is a linearly polarized light and wherein the polarizer includes a first λ/4 retardation plate 7 allocated to the reference surface, and a second λ/4 retardation plate 6 positioned before the analyzer and after beam splitter to form a circular polarized light of the first and second interference beams.

Claim 25:

• the analyzer includes a rotatable linear analyzer (abstract and col. 3 lines 28-29).

<u>Claim 27</u>:

• the analyzer is arranged physically separate from the interferometer.

De Lang fails to disclose the interferometer of one embodiment according to Fig. 1 including the polarizer being disposed after the light source and before the beam splitter.

De Lang discloses the interferometer of another embodiment according to Fig. 4 including the polarizer 41 being disposed after the light source 40 and before the beam splitter 42.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify a tunable interferometer as De Lang disclosed with the polarizer being disposed after the light source and before the beam splitter for converting the beam into linearly polarized light as another embodiment taught.

2. Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over De Lang (US3635552) as applied to claims 6-8, 10-14 and 16, and in further view of Sharp et al. (US5627666).

De Lang fails to disclose the interferometer having the analyzer including an electrically tunable liquid-crystal element with a linear polarizer.

Sharp et al. teach (Fig. 3) the interferometer (col. 2 lines 20-21) having the analyzer including an electrically tunable liquid-crystal element 10/20 with a linear polarizer 40.

Application/Control Number: 09/423,948 Page 6

Art Unit: 2871

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify a tunable interferometer as De Lang disclosed with the analyzer including an electrically tunable liquid-crystal element with a linear polarizer for increasing tuning range (col. 2 lines 35-46).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 6-22 are allowed. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Claims 6, 12 and 17 are allowed since there is no prior art teaches a tunable interferometer for measuring an optical surface comprising:

- <u>a reference surface</u>, light from the at least one light source impinging the
 reference surface, the reference surface reflecting a first interference beam,
 wherein the reference surface is stationary when at least one light source
 impinges the reference surface;
- a test object, light from the at least one light source impinging the test object, the test object reflecting a second interference beam;
- at least one beam splitter, the first interference beam and the second interference beam striking the at least one beam splitter; and
- a polarizer polarizing the first interference beam and the second interference
 beam so that the first interference beam and the second interference beam each
 have a different polarization state relative to one another, the polarizer being
 disposed after the light source and before the beam splitter or the polarizer being

Application/Control Number: 09/423,948 Page 7

Art Unit: 2871

situated between the light source and the beam splitter to form the polarized first and second interference beams;

an analyzer positioned at an output of the interferometer, the analyzer having a
variable polarization state, the analyzer tuning the interferometer as a function of
the polarized first interference beam and the second interference beam,

wherein

- depending on the polarization state of the analyzer, an additional phase is
 introduced into the first and second interference beams of different polarizations
 so that an interference fringe pattern, imaging the test object, is displaced by a
 distance.
- the reference surface and test object are not displaced (not moveable) in order to effect the tuning of the interferometer.

Claims 7-11, 13-16, 18-22 are allowable since they depend on the allowed claims.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Art Unit: 2871

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HOAN C. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571)272-2296. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY:8:00AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (571) 272-1787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HOAN C. NGUYEN Primary Examiner Art Unit 2871

Chn /HOAN C. NGUYEN/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2871